

Fourier transform of function on locally compact Abelian groups taking value in Banach spaces *

Yauhen Radyna, Anna Sidorik

Abstract

We consider Fourier transform of vector-valued functions on a locally compact group G , which take value in a Banach space X , and are square-integrable in Bochner sense. If G is a finite group then Fourier transform is a bounded operator. If G is an infinite group then Fourier transform $F : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$ is a bounded operator if and only if Banach space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert one.

1 Fourier transform over groups \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{T}

In the paper [1] J. Peetre proved an extension of Hausdorff–Young’s theorem describing image of $L_q(\mathbf{R})$ under Fourier transform. He considered vector-valued $x \in L_q(\mathbf{R}, X)$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$ on the real axis taking value in Banach space X , and integrable in Bochner sense, i.e. weakly measurable with finite norm

$$\|x\|_{L_q(\mathbf{R}, X)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \|x(t)\|_X^q dt \right)^{1/q}.$$

J. Peetre noted, that for $q = 2$ in all known to him cases Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L_2(\mathbf{R}, X) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbf{R}, X), \quad (\mathcal{F}x)(s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} x(t) e^{-2\pi i s t} dt.$$

was bounded only if X was isomorphic to a Hilbert space. In [3] Polish mathematician S. Kwapien in fact proved the following

Theorem 1 *Statements below are equivalent:*

- 1) *Banach space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert one.*
- 2) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any positive integer n and $x_0, x_1, x_{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_{-n} \in X$*

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{2\pi i k t} \cdot x_k \right\|^2 dt \leq C \sum_{k=-n}^n \|x_k\|^2.$$

- 3) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any positive integer n and $x_0, x_1, x_{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_{-n} \in X$*

$$C^{-1} \sum_{k=-n}^n \|x_k\|^2 \leq \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{2\pi i k t} \cdot x_k \right\|^2 dt.$$

*MSC2000: 46C15, 43A25; keywords: Hilbert space, Fourier transform, locally compact groups;

4) Fourier transform \mathcal{F} initially defined on a dense subspace of simple functions $D_{\mathcal{F}} \subset L_2(\mathbf{R}, X)$,

$$D_{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n I_{A_k}(t) \cdot x_k \right\},$$

is a bounded operator. Here A_k are disjoint subset of finite measure in \mathbf{R} , I_{A_k} are indicators (i.e. functions equal to 1 on A_k and to 0 elsewhere), x_k are vectors in X .

Let us define Fourier transform of a vector-valued function over integers \mathbf{Z} by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}} : L_2(\mathbf{Z}, X) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbf{T}, X) : (x_k) \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-2\pi i k t} \cdot x_k,$$

Here \mathbf{T} denotes a one-dimensional torus $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, which is isomorphic to $[0, 1]$ with the length in the category of spaces with a measure.

Statement 2) in Theorem 1 means that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a bounded operator (here $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ denotes the operator of changing variable $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Z}} : (x_k) \mapsto (x_{-k})$, which is an isometry). That is why $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is bounded on a dense subspace of $L_2(\mathbf{Z}, X)$ consisting of compactly-supported functions, and can be continued to the whole $L_2(\mathbf{Z}, X)$.

Statement 3) in Theorem 1 means that inverse Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{-1} : L_2(\mathbf{T}, X) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbf{Z}, X)$$

is a bounded operator.

2 Generalization and necessary facts

Fourier transform of Banach space-valued functions on a group different from $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T}$ (namely on additive group of p -adic field \mathbf{Q}_p) was considered in [4].

Now it's natural to look at the general case of arbitrary locally compact group G . We consider functions on G taking value in Banach space X , that are square-integrable in Bochner sense, and Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}_G : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X), \quad (\mathcal{F}x)(\xi) = \int_G \langle \xi, t \rangle_G x(t) d\mu_G(t).$$

Here \widehat{G} is Pontryagin dual to G (group of characters), $\langle \xi, t \rangle_G$ is the canonical pairing between \widehat{G} and G , μ_G is Haar measure.

First we recall some necessary results. We refer to [5] for results in harmonic analysis, and to [6] for structure theory of locally compact groups, Bruhat–Schwartz theory is exposed in [7].

Fix a dual Haar measure $\mu_{\widehat{G}}$ on \widehat{G} such that scalar Steklov–Parseval's equality holds

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_2(G)}^2 = \int_G |\varphi|^2 d\mu_G = \int_{\widehat{G}} |\mathcal{F}\varphi|^2 d\mu_{\widehat{G}} = \|\mathcal{F}\varphi\|_{L_2(\widehat{G})}^2.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(G)$ denote Bruhat–Schwartz space of “smooth fastly decreasing” functions on G . It's useful to take a dense subspace

$$D_{\mathcal{F}} = L_2(G) \otimes X \subset L_2(G, X),$$

as initial domain of \mathcal{F} , where it acts by

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(t) \cdot x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n ((\mathcal{F}\varphi_k)(\xi) \cdot x_k).$$

To show denseness of $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ and denseness of $\mathcal{S}(G) \otimes X \subset L_2(G) \otimes X$ consider indicator I_A of arbitrary measurable subset of finite measure (i.e. functions equal to 1 on A and to 0 elsewhere). Clearly, $I_A \in L_2(G)$, and it can be approximated by elements of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ using convolution with any δ -net consisting of Bruhat–Schwartz functions. By definition of $L_2(G, X)$ finite linear combinations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n I_{A_k}(t) \cdot x_k \in L_2(G) \otimes X \equiv D_{\mathcal{F}}$$

are dense in $L_2(G, X)$. Here A_k are measurable disjoint subsets of finite measure in G , I_{A_k} are indicators, and $x_k \in X$.

Due to the fact that scalar Fourier transform is a bijection from $L_2(G)$ into $L_2(\widehat{G})$, and is a bijection from $\mathcal{S}(G)$ into $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$, the restriction of vector-valued Fourier transform onto $L_2(G) \otimes X$ is a bijection into $L_2(\widehat{G}) \otimes X$, and its restriction onto $\mathcal{S}(G) \otimes X$ is a bijection to $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{G}) \otimes X$.

To handle the case of infinite group G we need a theorem describing structure of locally compact Abelian groups [6].

Theorem 2 *Let G be a locally compact Abelian group. Then G is a union of open compactly generated subgroups H . Topology of G is the topology of inductive limit. In turn, each compactly-generated subgroup $H \subset G$ is a projective limit of elementary factor-groups H/K , where $K \subset H$ are compact. “Elementary” here means that H/K is isomorphic to cartesian product*

$$H/K \cong \mathbf{R}^{a_{H,K}} \times \mathbf{T}^{b_{H,K}} \times \mathbf{Z}^{c_{H,K}} \times \mathbf{F}_{H,K},$$

where $a_{H,K} \geq 0$, $b_{H,K} \geq 0$, $c_{H,K} \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{F}_{H,K}$ is a finite group.

Definition 1 *We say that G contains an \mathbf{R} -component if for some H, K in Theorem 2 number $a_{H,K}$ is positive in elementary factor-group $\mathbf{R}^{a_{H,K}} \times \mathbf{T}^{b_{H,K}} \times \mathbf{Z}^{c_{H,K}} \times \mathbf{F}_{H,K}$.*

In the same way we use phrases “group G contains \mathbf{Z} -component”, “group G contains \mathbf{T} -component”.

Now recall some properties of Pontryagin duality.

Consider an exact sequence of homomorphisms (i.e. image of each homomorphism coincides the kernel of the following one)

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/K \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H \rightarrow 0,$$

where K is compact, and H is open. Dual groups $\widehat{G/K}$, $\widehat{G/H}$ can be identified with annihilators

$$K_G^\perp = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \forall g \in K, \langle \chi, g \rangle = 1\}, \quad H_G^\perp = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \forall g \in H, \langle \chi, g \rangle = 1\}.$$

Moreover, K_G^\perp is an open subgroup, and H_G^\perp is compact. One has dual oppositely-directed exact sequences

$$0 \leftarrow \widehat{G/K}_G^\perp \leftarrow \widehat{G} \leftarrow K_G^\perp \leftarrow 0, \quad 0 \leftarrow \widehat{G/H}_G^\perp \leftarrow \widehat{G} \leftarrow H_G^\perp \leftarrow 0.$$

If $K \subset H$, then $K_G^\perp \supset H_G^\perp$.

It’s not hard to see that Fourier transform of a function on G , that is supported in open subgroup H and is constant on cosets of compact subgroup $K \subset H$, is a function on \widehat{G} supported in K_G^\perp and constant on cosets of H_G^\perp .

3 The case of an arbitrary local compact group

We will prove some necessary lemmas before formulating the main result.

Lemma 1 *Assume that Banach space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert one, i.e. there exists inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ on X such that for some $C > 0$ the following inequality is true*

$$C^{-1}(x, x)_X^{1/2} \leq \|x\|_X \leq C(x, x)_X^{1/2}.$$

Then Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$ is bounded.

Proof. Consider vector-valued Steklov–Parseval’s equality

$$(\mathcal{F}\varphi, \mathcal{F}\varphi)_{L_2(\widehat{G}, X)} = \int_{\widehat{G}} (\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi), \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi)) d\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\xi) = \int_G (\varphi(t), \varphi(t)) d\mu_G(t) = (\varphi, \varphi)_{L_2(G, X)},$$

which can be easily checked for $\varphi \in L_2(G) \otimes X$ by means of axioms for inner product, scalar Steklov–Parseval’s equality and cross-norm’s property

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes x_1, \varphi_2 \otimes x_2)_{L_2(G, X)} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{L_2(G)} \cdot (x_1, x_2)_X.$$

Then we have on a dense subspace

$$\|\mathcal{F}\varphi\|_{L_2(\widehat{G}, X)} \leq C(\mathcal{F}\varphi, \mathcal{F}\varphi)_{L_2(\widehat{G}, X)} = C(\varphi, \varphi)_{L_2(G, X)} \leq C^2 \|\varphi\|_{L_2(G, X)},$$

so we can extend \mathcal{F} by continuity onto the whole $L_2(G, X)$. ◀

If G is a finite group, then space $L_2(G, X)$ is isomorphic to the finite Cartesian product X^G . Pontryagin’s dual group \widehat{G} is isomorphic to G itself. Self-dual Haar measure possesses the property $\mu_G(G) = \sqrt{|G|}$. Fourier transform, also known as discrete Fourier transform, becomes

$$(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{t \in G} \langle \xi, t \rangle \varphi(t).$$

Theorem 3 *If G is a finite group, then Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$ is bounded for any Banach space X .*

Proof. It follows from inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\varphi\|_{L_2(\widehat{G}, X)}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{t \in G} \langle \xi, t \rangle \varphi(t) \right\|_X^2 \leq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \left(\sum_{t \in G} |\langle \xi, t \rangle| \cdot \|\varphi(t)\|_X \right)^2 = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \left(\sum_{t \in G} \|\varphi(t)\|_X \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{t \in G} \|\varphi(t)\|_X \right)^2 \leq |G| \sum_{t \in G} \|\varphi(t)\|_X^2 = |G| \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L_2(G, X)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now pass to infinite groups. ◀

Lemma 2 *Let group G contain **R**-component, **T**-component or **Z**-component. Then boundedness of Fourier transform*

$$\mathcal{F} : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$$

implies isomorphism of Banach space X to a Hilbert one.

Proof. Consider the case, when group G contains \mathbf{R} -component. There are open compactly generated subgroup H in G and compact subgroup $K \subset H$ such that $H/K \cong \mathbf{R}^a \times \mathbf{T}^b \times \mathbf{Z}^c \times F$, where $a \geq 1$.

Let $\tau_1 : H \rightarrow H/K$ be a canonical projection, $\tau_2 : H/K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the projection on the first coordinate of \mathbf{R}^a , $\tau = \tau_2 \circ \tau_1$.

Consider helper functions $\psi_{\mathbf{R},i} \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$, $2 \leq i \leq a$, $\psi_{\mathbf{T},j} \in L_2(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \leq j \leq b$, $\psi_{\mathbf{Z},k} \in L_2(\mathbf{Z})$, $1 \leq k \leq c$, $\psi_F \in L_2(F)$, each of them having norm equal to 1 in corresponding space. Consider injection $J : L_2(\mathbf{R}, X) \rightarrow L_2(H, X)$,

$$J : \varphi \mapsto \left((\varphi \circ \tau) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=2}^a \psi_{\mathbf{R},i} \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^b \psi_{\mathbf{T},j} \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^c \psi_{\mathbf{Z},k} \right) \otimes \psi_F \right).$$

It is easy to see that the injection J is isometric. There exists a unique injection $\hat{J} : L_2(\mathbf{R}, X) \rightarrow L_2(\hat{H}, X)$, which is also an isometry, and for which the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_2(\mathbf{R}, X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}}} & L_2(\mathbf{R}, X) \\ J \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{J} \\ L_2(H, X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_H} & L_2(\hat{H}, X). \end{array}$$

Space $L_2(H, X)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of $L_2(G, X)$ consisting of functions, that are 0 almost everywhere outside H . Space $L_2(\hat{H}, X)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of $L_2(\hat{G}, X)$ consisting of functions, which are constant on cosets of H^\perp (recall, that $\hat{H} \cong \hat{G}/H_G^\perp$).

Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_H is the restriction of \mathcal{F}_G and thus, bounded. Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}} = (\hat{J})^{-1} \mathcal{F}_H J$ is continuous as a composition of continuous maps. By Theorem 1 statement 4) space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert one.

Cases when group G contains \mathbf{T} -component or \mathbf{Z} -component are considered similarly. ◀

Consider the case, when group G does not contain \mathbf{R} -, \mathbf{Z} - or \mathbf{T} -elements. Then all compactly generated subgroups $H \subset G$ are projective limits of finite subgroups with discrete topology, i.e. they are *profinite* groups.

Profinite groups are characterized by the following lemma [9].

Lemma 3 *Topological group H is a profinite one if and only if it*

- a) possesses Hausdorff's property;*
- b) is compact;*
- c) is totally disconnected, i.e. for any two points $x, y \in H$ there exists subset $U \subset H$ that is both open and closed, such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$.*

Any profinite group H is either discrete (and finite by virtue of compactness) or non-discrete (and therefore infinite).

Consider non-discrete profinite group H . We normalize Haar measure on H with $\mu_H(H) = 1$.

Group H is a Lebesgue space, i.e. it is isomorphic as a space with measure to segment $[0,1]$ with length $[5, 8]$. This fact can be proved selecting sequence of compact subgroups $K_n \subset H$ such that $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ and cardinality of quotient groups $M_n := |H/K_n|$ tends to $+\infty$. If one numbers cosets of K_n properly, he becomes able to identify them with the intervals of length $1/M_n$ in $[0, 1]$ up to a subsets of zero measure. By τ denote this isomorphism of spaces with measure.

A system of functions $(r_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$, similar to the Rademacher's system on $[0, 1]$ can be constructed on group H . This is an orthogonal system of functions taking values $\{+1, -1\}$ on subsets of measure $\frac{1}{2}$. Saying in probability-theoretical language functions r_i are realizations of independent random variables taking values $\{+1, -1\}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. One can simply assume $r_i = r_i^\infty \circ \tau$, where $r_i^\infty(t) = \sin 2^i \pi t$ on $[0, 1]$ is the usual Rademacher's functions.

We need a criterion, which is proved in [3].

Theorem 4 *The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) *Banach space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert one.*
- 2) *There exists constant $C > 0$ such that for any finite collection of vectors $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ two-sided Khinchin's type inequality holds*

$$C^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right\|^2 = \int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) x_i \right\|^2 dt \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2,$$

where r_i are independent random variables taking values $\{+1, -1\}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, and symbol \mathbb{E} denotes expectation.

As in [3] we formulate a Lemma, which shows importance of the system (r_i) on H and allows us to consider arbitrary basis in $L_2(H)$ instead of (r_i) . By dt denote Haar measure on H .

Lemma 4 *Let X be a Banach space, (f_i) be an orthonormal complete system in $L_2(H)$. Assume that for some $C > 0$ and for any collection $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ there is inequality*

$$\int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) x_i \right\|^2 dt \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \quad \left(\text{resp., } C^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) x_i \right\|^2 dt \right).$$

Then for the same constant $C > 0$ and for any collection $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ one also has

$$\int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) x_i \right\|^2 dt \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \quad \left(\text{resp., } C^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) x_i \right\|^2 dt \right).$$

Proof. As Rademacher's system (r_i) is orthonormal and (f_k) are complete, we can find for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ an increasing sequences of indices (k_j) , (m_j) and orthonormal sequence (h_j) such that

$$h_j = \sum_{k=k_j}^{k_{j+1}-1} (h_j, f_k) \cdot f_k, \quad \int_H |h_j(t) - r_{m_j}(t)|^2 dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}.$$

For a fixed n and fixed $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ we have

$$\int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) \cdot x_i \right\|^2 dt = \int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_{m_j}(t) \cdot x_i \right\|^2 dt.$$

By the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_H \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_{m_j}(t) \cdot x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left(\int_H \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (r_{m_j}(t) - h_j(t)) \cdot x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \\ &+ \left(\int_H \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(t) \cdot x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_H \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(t) \cdot x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $1 = \|h_j\|^2 = \sum_{k=k_j}^{k_{j+1}-1} |(h_j, f_k)|^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(t) \cdot x_j \right\|^2 dt &= \int_H \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=k_j}^{k_{j+1}-1} (h_j, f_k) \cdot f_k \right) x_j \right\|^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=k_j}^{k_{j+1}-1} |(h_j, f_k)|^2 \|x_j\|^2 = C \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) \cdot x_i \right\|^2 dt \leq (\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \sqrt{C})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2.$$

As ε is arbitrary, we obtain the desired inequality. Proof in the case of reverse type inequality is analogous. \blacktriangleleft

Corollary 4.1 *Let X be a Banach space, and (f_i) be a complete orthonormal system in $L_2(H)$. Space X is linearly isomorphic to a Hilbert one if and only if there exists constant $C > 0$ such that for any set of vectors $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ one has*

$$C^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \int_H \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) \cdot x_i \right\|^2 dt \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2.$$

In Corollary 4.1 isomorphism of X to a Hilbert space follows from *two-sided* inequality. Knowledge of profinite groups' structure allows us to switch from lower estimate to upper one and vice versa as shown below.

First recall that Bruhat–Schwartz space on a profinite group H and on dual discrete \widehat{H} consists of locally constant functions with compact support. Spaces $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{H})$ are inductive limit of finite-dimensional spaces and carry the strongest locally convex topology [7].

Now we are going to study the case of vector-valued Fourier transform on a profinite non-discrete group H . As H is a compact infinite group, its dual \widehat{H} is a discrete infinite group.

Lemma 5 *Let X be a Banach space, and H be a profinite non-discrete group. The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) X is linearly isomorphic to a Hilbert space.
- 2) There exists constant $C > 0$ such that for any set of vectors $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and characters $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \widehat{H}$ one has

$$\int_H \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \xi_k, t \rangle x_k \right\|^2 dt \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^2.$$

2)' Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}} : L_2(\widehat{H}, X) \rightarrow L_2(H, X)$ and inverse Fourier transform $F_H^{-1} = \mathcal{I}_H \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}}$ are bounded. Here \mathcal{I}_H is an isometrical operator of changing variable $x(t) \mapsto x(-t)$.

- 3) There exists constant $C > 0$ such that for any set of vectors $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and characters $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \widehat{H}$ one has

$$C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^2 \leq \int_H \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \xi_k, t \rangle x_k \right\|^2 dt.$$

3)' Inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}}^{-1} : L_2(H, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{H}, X)$ and Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_H = \mathcal{I}_H \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}}^{-1}$ are bounded.

Proof. Lemma 1 yields implications $1) \Rightarrow 2)'$, $1) \Rightarrow 3)'$.

To prove equivalences $2)' \Leftrightarrow 2)$, $3)' \Rightarrow 3)$ it's enough to consider

$$h = \sum_{k=1}^n I_{\{\xi_k\}} \cdot x_k \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{H}) \otimes X,$$

where $\xi_k \in \widehat{H}$, $x_k \in X$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By definition of Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L_2(\widehat{H}, X) \rightarrow L_2(H, X)$ and by chosen normalization of Haar measure on H we get

$$\|h\|_{L_2(\widehat{H}, X)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^2, \quad \|\mathcal{F}h\|_{L_2(H, X)}^2 = \int_H \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \xi_k, t \rangle x_k \right\|^2 dt.$$

Equivalence follows from the density of $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{H}) \otimes X$ in $L_2(\widehat{H}, X)$, density of $\mathcal{S}(H) \otimes X$ in $L_2(H, X)$, and bijectivity of $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}}$, \mathcal{F}_H in the corresponding spaces.

By Corollary 4.1 one also has $2) \& 3) \Rightarrow 1)$.

Now assume boundedness condition $2)'$. Let's look at Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_H on a subspace $\mathcal{S}(H) \otimes X$. For this consider arbitrary compact subgroup $K \subset H$, for which $|H/K| < +\infty$. We identify functions, which are constant on the cosets of K , with elements of $\mathcal{S}(H/K) \otimes X$.

By finiteness of H/K there exist an isomorphism $\alpha : \widehat{H/K} \rightarrow H/K$. Adjoint isomorphism $\alpha^* : \widehat{H/K} \rightarrow H/K$ is defined by

$$\langle \xi_1, \alpha(\xi_2) \rangle_H = \langle \alpha^*(\xi_1), \xi_2 \rangle_{\widehat{H}}.$$

Consider operator

$$R_\alpha : \mathcal{S}(H/K) \otimes X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\widehat{H/K}) \otimes X : (R_\alpha \psi)(\xi') = \psi(\alpha(\xi')) \cdot |H/K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It's an isometry, because

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\alpha \psi\|^2 &= \sum_{\xi' \in \widehat{H/K}} \|R_\alpha \psi(\xi')\|^2 \mu_{\widehat{H/K}}(\xi') = \sum_{\xi' \in \widehat{H/K}} \|\psi(\alpha(\xi'))\|^2 \cdot |H/K|^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2} = \\ &= [t := \alpha(\xi')] = \sum_{t \in H/K} \|\psi(t)\|^2 \cdot |H/K|^{-1} = \sum_{t \in H/K} \|\psi(t)\|^2 \mu_{H/K}(t) = \|\psi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}} R_\alpha \psi)(t') &= \sum_{\xi' \in \widehat{H/K}} \langle t', \xi' \rangle_{\widehat{H/K}} (\psi(\alpha(\xi')) |H/K|^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \\ (R_{(\alpha^*)} \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}} R_\alpha \psi)(\xi) &= \left(\sum_{\xi' \in \widehat{H/K}} \langle \alpha^*(\xi), \xi' \rangle_{\widehat{H/K}} \psi(\alpha(\xi')) |H/K|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) |H/K|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = [t := \alpha(\xi')] = \\ &= \left(\sum_{t \in H/K} \langle \alpha^*(\xi), \alpha^{-1}(t) \rangle_{\widehat{H/K}} \psi(t) \right) |H/K|^{-1} = \sum_{t \in H/K} \langle \alpha^*(\xi), \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(t)) \rangle_{H/K} \psi(t) |H/K|^{-1} = \\ &= \sum_{t \in H/K} \langle \xi, t \rangle_{H/K} \psi(t) \mu_{H/K}(t) = (\mathcal{F}_H \psi)(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, restriction of \mathcal{F}_H onto $\mathcal{S}(H/K) \otimes X$ has the same norm as $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{H}}$ does. As K is arbitrary, it implies continuity of \mathcal{F}_H on $L_2(H, X)$, and implication 2) \Rightarrow 3) is true. Implication 3) \Rightarrow 2) can be proved in the same way.

Now one has enough implications to see the equivalence of all statements. ◀

Let's pass to the general case of Fourier transform on a locally compact Abelian group G . This is our main result.

Theorem 5 *Let X be a Banach space, let G be a locally compact Abelian group. Space X is linearly isomorphic to a Hilbert one if and only if Fourier transform*

$$\mathcal{F} : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$$

is bounded.

Proof. Existence of isomorphism is a sufficient condition for boundedness of \mathcal{F} as shown in Lemma 1.

Now assume that Fourier transform is bounded.

If G contains **R**-, **T**- or **Z**-component, then isomorphism of X to a Hilbert space follows from Lemma 2, and we are done.

Otherwise, group G does not contain **R**, **T** or **Z**-components. In this case all compactly generated open subgroups $H \subset G$ are profinite.

If some of these H is non-discrete, then we consider space $L_2(H, X)$ as a closed subspace in $L_2(G, X)$ (one can simply assume that function from $L_2(H, X)$ are zero outside H). We identify $L_2(\widehat{H}, X)$ with a subspace of $L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$ consisting of functions constant on cosets of annihilator $H_G^\perp \subset \widehat{G}$. Fourier transform on $L_2(H, X)$ is the restriction of Fourier transform from $L_2(G, X)$ and is bounded. Isomorphism of X to a Hilbert space follows from Lemma 5, statement 3)'. And we are done.

If all subgroups $H \subset G$ considered are discrete (their compactness implies finiteness), then by Theorem 2 group G is an *inductive* limit of discrete subgroups. By properties of Pontryagin duality (in the language of Category theory one can say that passing to a dual group is an exact functor) dual group \widehat{G} is a *projective* limit of \widehat{H} . Groups \widehat{H} are dual to finite discrete H . Thus, \widehat{H} are isomorphic H , and are finite discrete themselves. Group \widehat{G} is profinite. As G is infinite, \widehat{G} is non-discrete.

Boundedness of Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L_2(G, X) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{G}, X)$ is equivalent to boundedness of inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}$ on profinite non-discrete \widehat{G} . Isomorphism of X to a Hilbert space follows from Lemma 5 statement 2)'. ◀

References

- [1] Peetre J. *Sur la transformation de Fourier des fonctions à valeurs vectorielles* // Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova. – 1969, vol.42. – p.15–26.
- [2] Mikusinski J. *The Bochner integral*. – Acad. Press, 1978.
- [3] Kwapień S. *Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector-valued coefficients* // Studia mathematica. – 1972, vol.XLIV. – p.583–595.

- [4] Radyna Ya.M., Radyno Ya.V., Sidorik A.G. *Characterizing Hilbert spaces using Fourier transform over the field of p -adic numbers* // Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi. – 2007, vol.51, No.5. – p. 17–22 (in Russian), arXiv:0803.3646v1 [math.FA].
- [5] Hewitt E., Ross K.A., *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. – Vol.1. – Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [6] Morris S. *Pontryagin duality and the structure of locally compact abelian groups*. – Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [7] Bruchat F. *Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes p -adiques* // Bull. Soc. Math. France. – 1961, vol.89. – p.43–75.
- [8] Leonov N.N. *Mathematical sociology. Structural approximation approach*. – Minsk, 2002 (in Russian).
- [9] Lenstra H. *Profinite groups* // <http://websites.math.leidenuniv.nl/algebra/Lenstra-Profinite.pdf>